Mad and Misleading: Incidental anger promotes deception.



Deception pervades organizational life and represents a significant challenge in domains ranging from negotiations to job interviews to expense reporting. In one study, the Coalition Against Insurance Fraud (2012) found that individuals file nearly \$80 billion in fraudulent insurance claims in the United States. Financial incentives explain some deceptive behavior (Tenbrunsel, 1998), but recent research suggests that deception is also influenced by a number of psychological factors including perceptions of inequity (Gino & Pierce, 2010), ego-depletion (Gino, Schweitzer, Mead, & Ariely, 2011), power (Pitesa & Thau, 2013), and trust (Yip & Schweitzer, 2015).

One psychological factor that may be particularly relevant to the deception decision process is emotion (Gaspar and Schweitzer, 2013, Gino and Shea, 2012, Moran and Schweitzer, 2008, Zhong, 2011). In this work, we consider the potential influence of anger on deception. Prior work has linked anger with a number of thoughts and behaviors that are related to deception (Barry and Oliver, 1996, Olekalns and Smith, 2009). For example, anger curtails cooperation (Lount, Zhong, Sivanathan, & Murnighan, 2008), and increases the rejection of ultimatum game offers (Pillutla & Murnighan, 1996). In an investigation of expressed anger, Van Dijk, Van Kleef, Steinel, and Van Beest (2008) found that when a counterpart sent a message that expressed anger instead of happiness, people were more likely to send that counterpart incorrect information about the resources available in an ultimatum game.

Surprisingly, no prior research has directly linked feeling angry with deception. This is a surprising omission, because anger is frequently experienced in the workplace in general (Glomb, 2002, Pearson and Porath, 2005) and in negotiations in particular (Van Kleef et al., 2004, Yip and Schweinsberg, 2016). In our investigation, we establish a link between feeling angry and deception.

By investigating how anger promotes deception, we substantially develop our understanding of both emotion and ethical decision-making. Across four experiments, we demonstrate that incidental anger, anger triggered by an unrelated source, promotes deception. We also find that feelings of empathy mediate the relationship between anger and deception. We find that anger reduces empathy, which in turn, increases self-serving deception. We also find that incentives moderate the relationship between anger and deception. Collectively, our studies advance our understanding of anger and the psychology of deception.

We focus our investigation on self-serving deception, lies that advantage the deceiver at the expense of the target (Erat and Gneezy, 2012, Levine and Schweitzer, 2014). Self-serving lies represent a quintessential form of unethical behavior (Gino et al., 2011, Tenbrunsel and Smith-Crowe, 2008), and a growing literature has identified key factors that influence self-serving deception (e.g., O'Connor and Carnevale, 1997, Schweitzer et al., 2005).

When telling a self-serving lie, deceivers navigate the tension between pursuing their self-interest and harming others. To do this, individuals weigh the potential costs and benefits for themselves (Lewicki, 1983, Loewenstein et al., 2011) and their counterparts (Gneezy, 2005). Emotions may influence these calculations (Fulmer & Barry, 2009).

We conducted four laboratory studies to explore the relationship between incidental anger and deception. In Study 1, we induced incidental anger with an essay feedback task and we demonstrate that incidental anger promotes deception. In Study 2, we use a different incidental anger induction, a recall task, and we replicate our findings in Study 1. In this study, we also identify empathy as a mediator. In Study 3, we compare the influence of incidental anger on deception with incidental sadness and neutral emotion. We find that anger increases the use of deception, but sadness, another negative-valence emotion does not. In Study 4, we identify incentives as a moderator of the relationship between anger and deception. Across all four studies, we find that incidental anger promotes self-interested deception – even when the target of deception is unrelated to why they are angry. Compared to when people feel neutral emotion, when people feel angry, they are more likely to engage in deception to pursue self-interested goals, because they care less about how their actions affect others.

General Discussion

Anger promotes deception. Across four studies, we find that individuals who experience incidental anger are more likely to deceive a counterpart than those in a neutral state. We find that empathy mediates the relationship between incidental anger and deception. Angry individuals are less empathetic, and as a result, angry individuals care less than neutral individuals about the harmful consequences of their self-interested actions.

Conclusion

Anger promotes deception. When individuals feel angry, they are more likely to deceive others. We find that angry individuals are less concerned about the welfare of others, and consequently more likely to exhibit self-interested unethical behavior. Across our studies, we link incidental anger to self-serving deception. By focusing on incidental anger, we isolate how feeling anger influences deception from seeking retribution.

By: Jeremy A. Yip and Maurice E. Schweitzer: The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania

How To Login and Add a Photo to Your Profile

- 1. Go to <u>www.namass.org</u>
- Click MEMBER PROFILE ACCOUNT (login) at the bottom of the page
- Login if you have forgotten or don't know your username or password click Retrieve Username and/or Reset Password and follow instructions.
- 4. Find your Profile in the Directory
- 5. Place cursor on 'My Profile' at the top left
- 6. Click 'Change Profile Picture' and follow instructions

NAMA Mailing Address:

NAMA - PO Box 26629, Greenville, SC 29616



Like Us on Facebook

PROUD MEMBER



NAMA is proud to be a member of the Institute for Credentialing Excellence to show our support for the credentialing community and our commitment to strive for excellence in our certification programs.

Your Active Status as a NAMA Member, Fellow, or Diplomate provides the following:

Listing in the very popular (think Google, Bing, Ask search engines) online Specialist Directory for referrals and credential checks.

NAMAs continued legislative advocacy, marketing, and research support for the Anger Management field and programs.

National standardization of credentials to help maintain your local community leadership position in the field of Anger Management and DV.

Opportunities to network with other Anger Management and DV professionals and experts.

Monthly NAMA Newsletter

Did you know that you can add a picture to your profile listing? Research shows that people are attracted visually to profiles that have pictures. Just login to the <u>NAMA website here</u>:

Once you are logged in using your username and password, find your profile and click on edit. If you don't remember your login information click on forgot your password, enter your email address and the login information will be sent to your email.

Did you know that you can improve you listing placement by upgrading from Member to Fellow or Diplomate. If you have been a member for a minimum of 3 years you qualify for Fellow level or 5 years you may upgrade to Diplomate level. You also will receive a new Certificate when you upgrade.

NOTE: NAMA DOES NOT ENDORSE OR RECOMMEND ONLINE ANGER MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS FOR COURT ORDERED OFFENDERS. THERE IS NO SOUND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH SHOWING THEIR EFFECTIVENESS COMPARED WITH THE POSITIVE RESULTS OF FACE-TO-FACE ANGER MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS.

Connect on the NAMA LinkedIn Group

The NAMA LinkedIn Group provides a place for anger management to share content, find answers, post and view jobs, make contacts, and establish themselves as industry experts.

<u>Join the National Anger Management Association (NAMA)</u>

<u>LinkedIn Group</u>